Influence of Rock Type and Maturity on Core-Scale Reservoir Characteristics of the Mowry Shale, Powder River Basin, WY

> Alexa Socianu Ph.D. Candidate asocianu@mymail.mines.edu MudTOC Consortium Meeting November 19, 2020

Lower 48 U.S. Shale Plays







Booms and Busts in Wyoming



WTI from https://www.statista.com/statistics/266659/west-texas-intermediate-oil-prices/

Powder River 72%

Motivation

Bbls Oil/1000'

Cumulative



- Mowry Shale is a prolific source rock in the PRB 198MMBO, 198 BCF estimated undiscovered resource (Anna, 2009)
- Mowry accounts for ~2% of all hz wells in the PRB
- Hz Mowry results are improving with time, but still <40 wells drilled – <u>we have a lot to learn</u>





Normalized Hz Mowry Shale Production Results

Mowry Shale Background Info



Research Cores



Six cores with near-complete Mowry Shale cored intervals, including:

- 191 XRD data points
- 206 Crushed rock (GRI/SRP) datapoints
- 209 Rock Eval datapoints

Samples from all cores representing all major facies and subunits





Mowry Hz Producer

Major Identified Facies







Ļ,

Thickly Lam Silty Mudst





Photomicrograph Scale Bars Upper Image - 400µm Lower Image - 100µm

Major Identified Facies Cont'd

Thinly Lam Silty Mudst

Lam Silty Siliceous Mudst

Siliceous Silty Mudst



Photomicrograph Scale Bars Upper Image - 400µm Lower Image - 100µm

Mineralogy – Bulk Analysis



Facies

Cores



Mineralogy – Clay Analysis



Cores

Facies



Kerogen Quality and Type



11

Facies Trends



Coarser, siltier facies exhibit:

5

4.5

TOC (Wt%) 722 722 7

1.5

0.5

- Lower average TOC
- Higher water saturations
- Moderately increased porosity
- Enhanced permeability

Bioturb Sandy Mudst
Thick Lam Silty Mudst
Thin Lam Silty Mudst
Lam Silty Siliceous Mudst
Silty Siliceous Mudst





Facies Trends, Cont'd



SRP_Dry Press Decay Perm, mD vs. SRP_Dry Helium Por, % BV



Dry Press Perm (mD)

Maturity Trends



SRP A-R Water Sa

24 60.8767

A-R Water Sat

19

58.8368

More mature cores exhibit:

- Lower mixed I/S ٠
- Lower water saturations
- Increased porosity •
- Enhanced permeability



SRP_Dry Helium Por,...

66

5.56146

15 6.5105

11.96

SRP Dry Helium Por

(Column Names)

6.6933

SRP_Dry Helium Por...

15

7.94995

7.16961



24

6.48268

100

95

90

85

80

75

70 65 60

55 50

45 40

35

30

25 20

15



31

70.8761

SRP A-R Water Sat

15

68.091





Count Median

Outliers

16

Maturity Trends, Cont'd

SRP_Dry Press Decay Perm, mD vs. SRP_Dry Helium Por, % BV



Maturity Trends, Cont'd



SRP_Dry Press Decay Perm, mD vs. SRP_Dry Helium Por, % BV



Permeability and Tmax





Permeability Trends

TR (%)





Figures modified from Modica and Lapierre, 2012

R_o (%)

Next Steps: Influences on Pore Structure

Possible factors impacting pore size development:

- Facies
- Maturity
- TOC content
- Clay content
- Silica diagenesis
- Compaction

Methods:

1) Low-pressure Nitrogen Gas Adsorption -Investigate pore size distributions

2) Ion-milled SEM samples

- Visually qualify gas ads results



Summary



Key Findings:

- 1) Six main facies recognized in the distal, Powder River Basin Mowry Shale
- 2) Coarser facies exhibit higher detrital silica content, lower TOC, higher water saturations, increased porosity, and increased permeability
- 3) Higher maturity samples exhibit lower mixed I/S clay content, lower water saturations, increased porosity, and enhanced permeability
- 4) Strong and apparently predictable relationship between maturity and permeability, consistent with previous models of organic matter transformation, but other influences on the pore structure are also possible and will be the subject of ongoing research

MUDTOC Consortium Sponsors - Fall 2020



Supporting Companies



Mike Johnson & Associates









